

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,616	09/22/2003	Masamitsu Itoh	4329.2543-01	65,61
22852	7590 05/09/2005	EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			WALKE, AMANDA C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1752	

DATE MAILED: 05/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

16

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
į	10/665,616	ITOH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Amanda C. Walke	1752	

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant has presented arguments that are almost identical to those filed previously. The one new argument is that the while the Sato reference teaches a chemically amplified resist comprising a polyhydroxystyrene resin wherein 10-60 mol% of the t-butoxycarbonyl groups replace the hydroxyl groups, the reference doesn't teach that these groups are added to solve the same problem as the instant application. In response, it is noted that the prima facie case of obviousness is not undermined simply because applicant's motivation for teaching to add t-butoxycarbonyl groups to replace the hydroxyl groups differs from that of the prior art's motivation. In re Dillon, 919 F.2d 688, 692-93, 16 USPQ2d 1897, 1901 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (in banc), cert. Denied, 500 U.S. 904 (1991).

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 10/65616
Amanda C Walke Examiner Art Unit: 1752

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 452005